

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,907	06/27/2003	Richard Storer	IDX 1018 06171.105084 2201		
57263 KING & SPAL	7590 05/31/2007 DING LLP	•	EXAMINER		
1180 PEACHTREE STREET			MCINTOSH III, TRAVISS C		
ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	_	
Office Action Summary		10/608,90)7	STORER ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Traviss C.	McIntosh	1623		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILInsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuton are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. The period will apply and with the statute, cause the apply statute.	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this co		
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	☑ This action is nallowance except	— on-final. for formal matters, pro		merits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 12,13,18-26 and 44-84 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12,13,18-26,44-55 and 57-84 is Claim(s) 56 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	vithdrawn from constant state rejected. and/or election reconstant state and state an	equirement. objected to by the Ene held in abeyance. See led if the drawing(s) is objected in t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF		
		the Examiner ive		7.00.011 01 1011111 11	J 102.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed 2/15/2007 has been received, entered into the record, and carefully considered. The following information provided in the amendment affects the instant application by:

Claims 12, 22, 25, 50-51, and 67 have been amended.

Claims 1-11, 14-17, 27-43, have been canceled.

Remarks drawn to rejections of Office Action mailed 8/15/2006 include:

112 2nd paragraph rejections: which have been overcome by applicant's amendments and have been withdrawn.

An action on the merits of claims 12-13, 18-26, and 44-84 is contained herein below. The text of those sections of Title 35, US Code which are not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 12-13, 18-26, and 44-55 and 57-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating HCV with 2'-deoxy-2'fluoro-2'-C-methyl (or trifluoromethyl) compounds which also have a 2-amino group on the

purine base, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating HCV with the broad group of compounds claimed, specifically for those where Base* is a purine or pyrimidine base. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Undue experimentation is a conclusion reached by weighing the noted factual considerations set forth below as seen in *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988). A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding a fair evaluation of an appropriate combination of the factors below, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

These factors include:

- (A) The breadth of the claims:
- (B) The nature of the invention;
- (C) The state of the prior art;
- (D) The level of one of ordinary skill;
- (E) The level of predictability in the art:
- (F) The amount of direction provided by the inventor;
- (G) The existence of working examples; and
- (H) The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The breadth of the claims - The nature of the invention

Claim 1 is drawn to a method of treating HCV with a compound set forth therein, wherein the compound is a 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro-2'-C-methyl nucleoside or a 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro-2'-C-trifluoromethyl nucleoside. It is noted that the base can be any purine or pyrimidine base, which encompasses thousands of possible compounds. Dependent claims limit the various moieties on the compound.

The level of predictability in the art

The level of unpredictability in the art is high. Clark et al. (Synthesis and antiviral activity...", Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 16, 2006, 1712-1715) teach that only those 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro-2'-C-methyl nucleosides with a 2-amino group on the purine base reduced levels of HCV RNA in a subgenomic replicon assay (see abstract for example).

The amount of direction provided by the inventor

The instant specification is not seen to provide adequate guidance which would allow the skilled artisan to extrapolate from the disclosure and examples provided to use the claimed method commensurate in the scope with the instant claims. There is a lack of data and examples which adequately represent the scope of claim as written. The examiner notes, there has not been provided sufficient instruction or sufficient methodological procedures to support the alleged efficacy instantly asserted using a compound from the broad group of claim 1.

The existence of working examples

The instant specification is seen to be well over 3000 pages long. However, none of the claimed compounds have been seen to have been tested in any HCV models.

The quantity of experimentation needed to make and use the invention based on the content of the disclosure

Indeed, in view of the information set forth supra, the instant disclosure is not seen to be sufficient to enable the use of any compound of claim 12 as an anti HCV agent without undue experimentation. One skilled in the art could not use the entire scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. One skilled in the art would be confronted with an undue burden of experimentation to isolate, characterize, and test the group of compounds of claim 1, which

encompasses thousands of different compounds, to determine if indeed they have efficacy as anti-HCV agents.

Conclusion

Claim 56 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traviss C. McIntosh whose telephone number is 571-272-0657. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Traviss McIntosh May 27, 2007 Art unit 1623